

Sir,

This is regarding the Hearing of Case: File No. CIC/RM/A/2014/001481/RK before you on 2.3.2016 at 11:30 am.

I am totally disappointed as the Truth and Justice were not considered and the hearing was one sided just to help the officials, only because they are working with the Hon. Supreme Court.

You were not even patient to hear me and said “thank you” immediately after reading out their false interpretation of the RTI Act Section 2(f).

I am fighting against the Noise pollution since 2002 and I was expecting the information sought by me through my RTI Application dated 22.11.2013 to produce before the State Police against their inaction towards the Supreme Court Order. And there is no other intention towards the officials of the Hon. Supreme Court. (You may go through the Web page: www.directoryartist.net/rti.htm)

The RTI Rules were not kept up at all in this case by the officials, and the summary is given below:-

- 1) 22.11.2013 – Submitted RTI Application dated 22.11.2013 along with scanned copy of Indian Postal Order worth Rs.10/- 00F 973794 dtd. 22.11.2013 by Email to supremecourt@nic.in. Hard copy was send by India post.
- 2) 24.12..2013 – Received Email message from CPIO **after 32 days, that my application is defective. (This is against the RTI Act-2005 and liable for penal action).**
- 3) 25.12.2013 – I have responded to the CPIO’s message.
- 4) 31.12.2013 – I have once again send fee by EMO and Email message. (This has happened only because of the CPIO’s ruthless action on the Indian Postal Order).
- 5) 3.1.2014 – Received EMO Acknowledgement.
- 6) 13.1.2014 – I have submitted RTI Appeal-1 dated 13.1.2014 by Email and Hard copy.
- 7) 23.1.2014 – Received another Email reply dated 22.1.2014 from CPIO with another excuse with a new version that my application is not covered under Section 2(f). And also the website (www.sci.in) mentioned by the CPIO was also a wrong information. (Section 2(f) says:- "information" means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force;) **This section has been interpreted and misused to save herself after 61 days. (This is also against the RTI Act-2005 and liable for penal action).**
- 8) 14.3.2014 – Submitted Appeal-2.
- 9) 14.3.2014 – After submitting the Appeal-2, received Appeal-1 reply from Appellate authority **after 61 days. (This is against the RTI Act-2005 and liable for penal action).** **In the Appeal-1 reply there was no mention about the CPIO’s version “that my application is not covered under Section 2(f).”**

And you could not find any fault on CPIO and Appellate authority. This is injustice and against truth, I expect a truthful final order from you Sir, which will help keeping the spirit and scope of the RTI Act-2005 high.

Yours Truly,

Subhash S
Gallery
Poundkadavu
Valiyaveli-PO
Trivandrum-695021
Tele: 0471-2414880
Email: gallery@bsnl.in