

APPLICATION UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT-2005 APPEAL-1

Subhash.S  
Gallery  
Poundkadavu  
Valiyaveli-PO  
Trivandrum-695021  
Tele: 0471-2414880  
Email: gallery@bsnl.in

The First Appellate Authority/Registrar  
Supreme Court of India, New Delhi

13.1.2014

Sir,

An application under RTI Act-2005 has been submitted to CPIO, Supreme Court of India on 22.11.2013, but the information sought by me was not provided with in the specific time. An Email reply has been send by CPIO on 24.12.2013 and received on same day (copy is attached). In that reply CPIO said my application is defective because I have not sent the application fee of Rs.10/- in the prescribed manner. This statement by the CPIO is absolutely FALSE, and it took a month to find this lame excuse for not providing the information sought by me.

I have duly sent *Indian Postal Order worth Rs.10/- 00F 973794 dtd. 22.11.2013* along with my first application dated 22.11.2013, and a scanned copy of *Indian Postal Order* was also attached with the email sent on 22.11.2013 to supremecourt@nic.in addressed to CPIO.

As the CPIO made the FALSE accuse, I have sent E-Money Order worth Rs.10/- (E-MO PNR No: 056291131231007206) on 31.12.2013 in favour of Registrar/Accounts Officer, Supreme Court of India as fee again, with the expectation of receiving the RTI information in time. It is being done according to the letter Dy. No.322/N-RTI/13-14/SCI dated 24.12.2013.

So I hereby humbly request that the information for the following may please be provided in the same format under RTI Act-2005 Appeal-1. (*The application in WORD and PDF format has been sent to the email: supremecourt@nic.in and a signed copy by India Post*).

1	The use of Cone shaped Metal Loudspeaker has been banned in the year 2000 by the Honorable Supreme Court to save people from its ill-effects on their health, but the ban has not been implemented in Kerala State. People are suffering but unable to make complaint fearing retaliation. It is being used in a competitive and abusive manner. It is a Health hazard and Public nuisance. Is the Rule still active?	
2	If the Rule is still active, who is responsible to implement it effectively in Kerala State?	
3	If the Rule is active and not implemented in Kerala State. Is it a Contempt of Court?	
4	Is there any relaxation in this Rule?	
5	If there is relaxation, then for how many minutes at a stretch, it is permitted?	
6	If there is relaxation, then for total how many minutes out of 24 hours, it is permitted?	
7	If there is relaxation, then in what intensity in decibel unit, it is permitted?	
8	If there is relaxation, then what is the distance in Meters, beyond that it should not be audible?	
9	Both the Metal Loudspeaker ban-2000, and the Sun Control Film ban-2012 are from the Honorable Supreme Court. The 2012 Rule has been quickly implemented very effectively, and huge amount has been levied as fine from the defaulters. But the 2000 Rule has not been implemented at all. Defaulters are not fined. Is there any specific reason for this discrimination?	
10	As the Sun Control Film on vehicle has been banned, people save themselves from hot Sun by using towel/curtain on the wind shield. Is it permitted?	

Yours truly,

(Subhash.S)

**Subhash**

---

**From:** "SCI" <supremecourt@nic.in>  
**To:** <gallery@bsnl.in>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 24, 2013 5:07 PM  
**Subject:** Dy. No.322/N-RTI/13-14/SCI

Dy. No.322/N-RTI/13-14/SCI  
Dated:December 24, 2013

From: Smita Vats Sharma  
Addl. Registrar/CPIO,

To: Shri Subhash S  
gallery@bsnl.in

**Sub: Application under Right to Information Act, 2005**

Sir,

With reference to your application dated 21/11//2013 received by the undersigned on 27/11//2013, I write to say that application fee of Rs. 10/- either in cash or by way of Indian Postal Order or by Money Order or Demand Draft drawn in favour of Registrar/Accounts Officer, Supreme Court of India is required for seeking information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 and not by the way of court fee stamps. Your application is defective.

Yours faithfully,  
Sd/-  
(Smita Vats Sharma)

12/31/2013

APPLICATION UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT-2005

Subhash.S  
Gallery  
Poundkadavu  
Valiyaveli-PO  
Trivandrum-695021  
Tele: 0471-2414880  
Email: gallery@bsnl.in

The Central Public Information Officer  
Additional Registrar/CPIO  
Supreme Court of India  
New Delhi

22.11.2013

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the information for the following may please be provided under RTI Act-2005 in the same format. *(This application in word format has been sent to the email: supremecourt@nic.in and a signed copy by Ordinary India Post along with Indian Postal Order worth Rs.10/- 00F 973794 dtd. 22.11.2013).*

1	The use of Cone shaped Metal Loudspeaker has been banned in the year 2000 by the Honorable Supreme Court to save people from its ill-effects on their health, but the ban has not been implemented in Kerala State. People are suffering but unable to make complaint fearing retaliation. It is being used in a competitive and abusive manner. It is a Health hazard and Public nuisance. Is the Rule still active?	
2	If the Rule is still active, who is responsible to implement it effectively in Kerala State?	
3	If the Rule is active and not implemented in Kerala State. Is it a Contempt of Court?	
4	Is there any relaxation in this Rule?	
5	If there is relaxation, then for how many minutes at a stretch, it is permitted?	
6	If there is relaxation, then for total how many minutes out of 24 hours, it is permitted?	
7	If there is relaxation, then in what intensity in decibel unit, it is permitted?	
8	If there is relaxation, then what is the distance in Meters, beyond that it should not be audible?	
9	Both the Metal Loudspeaker ban-2000, and the Sun Control Film ban-2012 are from the Honorable Supreme Court. The 2012 Rule has been quickly implemented very effectively, and huge amount has been levied as fine from the defaulters. But the 2000 Rule has not been implemented at all. Defaulters are not fined. Is there any specific reason for this discrimination?	
10	As the Sun Control Film on vehicle has been banned, people save themselves from hot Sun by using towel/curtain on the wind shield. Is it permitted?	

Yours truly,



(Subhash.S)

आवकता COUNTERFOIL

इसे फाइल में रखकर जमाने पास रखने में  
To be detached and kept  
by the Sender.

पोस्टल आर्डर  
रुपये 10.00Rs.  
POSTAL ORDER

किसी को भेजना  
To whom payable  
Registrar/Accounts Officer  
Supreme Court of India  
New Delhi

किस ऑफिस में  
At what Office New Delhi

क्या इसे कटार दिया है  
Whether crossed No

बेजने की तारीख  
Date sent 22.11.13

OOF 973794

भारत के डाक टिकटों का मूल्य: 05629113123100/206 (Counter 1) रुपये के बराबर है।



भारतीय पोस्टल आर्डर  
INDIAN POSTAL ORDER

डाक महानिदेशक DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POSTS.

PAY TO Registrar/Accounts Officer  
Supreme Court of India  
New Delhi

इस रूपये की रकम THE SUM OF RUPEES TEN ONLY



रुपये 10.00Rs.

कमीशन COMMISSION के 50 PAISE

भेजक अपना नाम और पता यहाँ लिखें।  
SENDER MAY FILL IN HIS NAME AND ADDRESS HERE.

डाक टिकट  
POSTAGE STAMPS

AT THE POST OFFICE AT

New Delhi

के डाकघर में भेजा करें।

Subhash S

Gallery

Poundkadavu

Valiyaveli - PO

Totivandrum - 695021

इस स्थान के नीचे का हिस्सा न लिखें। DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

OOF 973794

भारतीय डाक



TVM ISRO <695022>

MDFNR No: 05629113123100/206<Counter 1>

To: REGISTRAR/ ACCOUNTS (NEWDELHI)

NEWDELHI-110001

MDValue: 10.00 Comm:1.00 SMS:0.00 ACK:0.00

Total: 11.00

<31/12/2013>