

BEFORE APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER RTI ACT
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
APPEAL NO. 37 OF 2014

Shri Subhash.S.,
Gallery,
Poundkadavu,
Valiyaveli-P.O.
Trivandrum-695 021.

... Appellant

Versus

Ms. Smita Vats Sharma,
Additional Registrar &
Central Public Information Officer,
Supreme Court of India,
New Delhi

... Respondent

(Delivered on 7th March, 2014)

O R D E R

This appeal arises from the reply of the CPIO dated 24.12.13 in D.No.322/RTI/13-14/SCI. In reply to the application dated 21.11.13 the CPIO replied that the application is defective, since it was not accompanied by the requisite fee.

Not satisfied with the reply, the appellant has preferred this appeal. Notice of appeal was issued. Examined the records including his letter dated 13.1.14.



Contd.....2

His consistent case in the appeal is that he had duly sent the Indian Postal Order dated 22.11.13 along with his application dated 22.11.13 and scanned copy was forwarded by e-mail.

However, there is nothing on record to show that the postal order was received by the office for the present application. In the above circumstances, I have no reason to disbelieve the version of the CPIO.

The appeal is without any merit and is dismissed.

The Appellant, if aggrieved by this Order, is entitled to file a second Appeal before the Central Information Commission, 2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110 066 under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act within 90 days from the date of communication of this Order.

Dated this the 7th day of March, 2014.

al
(SUNIL THOMAS)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY
UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT

